

# An Asian Journal of Soil Science

Volume 11 | Issue 1 | June, 2016 | 58-61 | ⇔ e ISSN-0976-7231 ■ Visit us: www.researchjournal.co.in



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/11.1/58-61

### Research Article

# Effect of potassium and sulphur on yield and yield attributes of onion and chilli intercrops in a vertisol

K.S. POORNIMA, N. MAMATHA AND H. S. RAMESH

Received: 15.12.2015; Revised: 21.03.2016; Accepted: 17.04.2016

#### MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM:

#### Corresponding author: K.S. POORNIMA, Fertilizer Control Laboratory, MANDYA (KARNATAKA) INDIA

Email: poornapavana@gmail.com

#### Co-authors:

N. MAMATHA, Office of Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Sahakara Bhavan, SHIMOGA (KARNATAKA) INDIA

Email: mamathad avan agere @gmail.com

H.S. RAMESH, Department of Veterinary Physiology and Biochemistry, Veterinary College, Vinobhanagar, SHIMOGA (KARNATAKA) INDIA Email: ramamabhi@gmail.com

# **Summary**

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* 2006 on a vertisol to study the effect of potassium and sulphur on yield and yield parameters of onion and chilli intercrops grown with four levels of potassium (0, 50, 75 and 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three levels of sulphur (0, 15 and 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>). Yield and yield attributes of onion and chilli increased with the individual application of 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 kg S ha<sup>1</sup>. The combined application of 100  $K_2O$  and 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the maximum yield of both onion (19.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and chilli (3.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and also onion equivalent yield (23.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of the intercropping system.

Key words: Yield, Soil sodium, Soil potassium, Bulb, Vertisol, Intercrops

**How to cite this article:** Poornima, K.S., Mamatha, N. and Ramesh, H.S. (2016). Effect of potassium and sulphur on yield and yield attributes of onion and chilli intercrops in a vertisol. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, **11** (1): 58-61: **DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/11.1/58-61.** 

#### Introduction

India is a leading country growing onion and chilli in the world. The productivity of both the crops is low as compared to other countries. The productivity and quality of both the crops can be enhanced by proper nutrient management. Potassium and sulphur are considered as quality elements as they improve quality parameters besides increasing the yield of many crops including chilli and onion. Farmers of transitional belt of Dharwad district (Zone 8) have found on their own that chilli plus onion is the most profitable cropping system but yields of both crops are far below their potential yield. Thus, the nutrition of potassium and sulphur play a significant role in increasing the yield of both onion and chilli intercrops. Keeping these things in view the present study was

undertaken to study the effect of potassium and sulphur on yield and yield parameters of onion and chilli.

#### Resource and Research Methods

Field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* 2006 under rainfed condition in Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), Dharwad. The soil of the experimental field was a vertisol with a pH of 7.54, EC (0.34 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) organic carbon (5.80 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), available nitrogen (303.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available P (22.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available K (401.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available sulphur (11.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in Split Plot Design with four potassium levels (0, 50, 75 and 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three levels of sulphur (0, 15 and 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) and onion cv. BELLARY RED AND CHILLI cv. BYADAGI DABBI were

test crops (intercrops). The recommended dose of 100: 50: 50 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and sulphur as per treatments were supplied through factomphos a complex fertilizer (20 : 20 : 0 : 15 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O and S) and remaining amount of N, P2O5 and K2O were applied through urea, diammonium phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Shallow furrows at a distance of 15 cm were opened using a marker so as to maintain a row proportion of 4:1 (onion: chilli). Chillies were sown with a row spacing of 75 cm apart and in between the chilli rows, 4 rows of onion were sown with an interrow spacing of 15 cm. All the recommended cultural practices were followed. After complete development of bulbs, onion bulbs were harvested and only red ripe chilli fruits were picked. The yield and yield attributes of both onion and chilli were recorded. Onion equivalent yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated by using the formula given by Reddy and Reddi (2002).

Onion equivalent Chilli yield (t/ha) x chilli price (Rs./t) yield of chilli (t/ha) = Onion price(Rs./t)

Onion equivalent yield (t/ha) = onion yield (t/ha) + onion equivalent yield of chilli (t/ha)

# Research Findings and Discussion

The results of the field experiment are given in Table 1, 2, 3 and 4. The different levels of potassium significantly increased the yield and yield components viz., bulb diameter, bulb weight and bulb length over control. The treatment receiving potassium @ 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the maximum bulb diameter (5.76 cm), bulb length (4.70 cm) and bulb weight (81.30 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) compared to control (4.64 cm, 3.80 cm and 33.08 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The increase in yield could be attributed to positive correlation existed between yield and yield attributes.

Table 1: Effect of potassium and sulphur on bulb diameter, bulb length, bulb weight and bulb yield of onion																
Potassium levels	Sulphur levels															
	Bulb diameter (cm)			Bulb length (cm)			Bulb weight (g/plant)			Bulb yield (t/ha)						
	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean
$K_0$	4.47	4.66	4.79	4.64	3.66	3.81	3.94	3.80	28.43	32.77	38.06	33.08	12.45	13.29	13.98	13.24
$K_1$	4.91	5.06	5.30	5.09	4.04	4.20	4.33	4.19	42.54	47.50	54.79	48.27	14.13	14.69	15.30	14.70
$K_2$	5.21	5.49	5.66	5.45	4.28	4.42	4.57	4.42	52.83	62.45	71.95	62.41	14.75	16.16	18.22	16.37
$K_3$	5.55	5.75	6.00	5.76	4.51	4.60	5.01	4.70	69.71	81.35	92.84	81.30	16.26	18.71	19.52	18.17
Mean	5.04	5.24	5.44	5.24	4.12	4.26	4.46	4.28	48.38	56.02	64.41	56.27	14.39	15.71	16.76	15.62
	S.I	E.±	C.D. (	P=0.05)	S.l	E.±	C.D. (	P=0.05)	S.I	E.±	C.D. (I	P=0.05)	S.I	E.±	C.D. (I	P=0.05)
Potassium	0.	10	0.	.31	0.	07	0.	24	2.	32	8.	02	0.	35	1.	06
Sulphur	0.	03	0.	.09	0.	06	0.	19	1.	91	5.	74	0.	31	1.	03
Potassium x Sulphur	0.	11	N	NS	0.	12	N	IS	3.	89	N	IS	0.	71	N	IS

 $K_0\text{-No potassium}, \quad K_1\text{-}50 \text{ kg } K_2\text{O/ha}, \quad K_2\text{-}75 \text{ kg } K_2\text{O} \text{/ ha}, \quad K_3\text{-}100 \text{ kg } K_2\text{O} \text{/ ha}, \quad S_0\text{-No sulphur}, \quad S_1\text{-}15 \text{ kg } S \text{/ ha}, \quad S_2\text{-}30 \text{ kg } S \text{/ ha}, \quad NS\text{=Non-significant}$ 

Table 2 : Effe	ct of potass	ium and su	lphur on n	umber of fi	uits per pla	ant, fruit le	ngth and 1	00 fruit wei	ght of chill	i		
Potassium levels	Sulphur levels											
		Number of	fruits / plan	t	Fruit length (cm)				100 fruit weight (g)			
	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean
$K_0$	9.96	10.83	12.81	11.20	6.95	7.24	9.21	8.13	37.58	39.44	41.12	39.38
$\mathbf{K}_{1}$	14.89	17.54	19.23	17.22	9.29	10.20	11.14	10.21	42.57	44.96	47.98	45.17
$\mathbf{K}_2$	18.09	21.83	26.28	22.06	10.34	12.06	14.16	12.18	46.81	49.51	53.93	50.08
$K_3$	24.31	28.97	32.13	28.47	13.01	15.56	16.91	15.16	51.70	56.17	59.10	55.65
Mean	16.81	19.80	22.61	19.74	9.90	11.52	12.86	11.42	44.67	47.52	50.53	47.57
	S.I	E.±	C.D. (	P=0.05)	S.I	E.±	C.D. (1	P=0.05)	S.1	E.±	C.D. (1	P=0.05)
Potassium	0.75		2.60		0.27		0.93		1.09		3.79	
Sulphur	0.94		2.8		0.38		1.13		1.36		4.1	
Potassium x Sulphur	1.7		NS		0.68		NS		2.48		NS	

K<sub>0</sub>·No potassium, K<sub>1</sub>-50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, K<sub>2</sub>· 75 kg K<sub>2</sub>O / ha, K<sub>3</sub>· 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O /ha, S<sub>0</sub> -No sulphur, S<sub>1</sub> -15 kg S /ha, S<sub>2</sub> -30 kg S /ha, NS =Non- significant

The highest yield (18.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with  $K_3$  (100 kg  $K_2$ O ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was 36.85 per cent increase over control (13.24 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The higher yields might be due to beneficial effects of potassium on growth parameters, which ultimately improved the yield of onion. Application of sulphur @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> also recorded significantly higher bulb diameter (5.44 cm), length (4.46 cm) and bulb weight (64.41 g) compared to  $\boldsymbol{S}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  and  $\boldsymbol{S}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ levels.

A significantly higher yield of onion (16.76 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed with the application of S @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> over S<sub>2</sub> 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.71 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and control (14.39 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in yield was about 17.02 per cent over control. The increase in bulb yield with application of higher levels of S might be due to increased uptake of N, P, K and S by the crop which might have enhanced the synthesis and translocation of photosynthates to the bulbs and storage organs of the onion. The present results are in agreement with the findings of Nagaich et al. (1998) and Salimath (1990) in onion.

In chilli crop, yield is the cumulative effect of yield components viz., number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, fruit length and 100 fruit weight. Application of potassium significantly increased the number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup>, fruit length and 100 fruit weight compared to control. The maximum number of fruits plant<sup>-1</sup> (28.47), fruit length (15.16 cm) and 100 fruit weight (55.65 g) were observed with a application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly the same treatment recorded significantly higher dry fruit yield of chilli (3.43 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to control (2.30 g ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in yield and yield components of chilli with different levels of potassium might be due to mobility of photosynthates from the source to sink. Similar findings were reported by Suresh (2000) and Ananthi et al. (2004).

Application of S @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher number of fruits plant <sup>-1</sup> (22.61), fruits length (12.86 cm), 100 fruit weight (50.53 g) and dry fruit yield of chilli (3.30 q/ha) compared to 15 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and control.

Potassium levels	Sulphur levels							
Potassium ieveis	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean				
$K_0$	2.20	2.30	2.40	2.30				
$\mathbf{K}_{1}$	2.50	2.60	3.20	2.75				
$K_2$	2.60	3.00	3.60	3.07				
$K_3$	3.10	3.30	3.90	3.43				
Mean	2.60	2.80	3.30	2.90				
	S.I	E.±	C.D. (	P=0.05)				
Potassium	0.	03	0.12					
Sulphur	0.	06	0	0.2				
Potassium x sulphur	0.11 NS							

K<sub>0</sub>: No potassium, K<sub>1</sub>: 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O / ha, K<sub>2</sub>: 75 kg K<sub>2</sub>O / ha, K<sub>3</sub>: 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O / ha, S<sub>0</sub>: No sulphur, S<sub>1</sub>: 15 kg S / ha, S<sub>2</sub>: 30 kg S / ha, NS=Non -significant

Table 4 : Effect of potassium a	nd sulphur on onion equivale	ent yield (t/ha) of onion and c	hilli grown as intercrops						
Potassium levels	Sulphur levels								
Fotassium levels	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	Mean					
$K_0$	14.75	15.69	16.38	15.60					
$\mathbf{K}_{1}$	16.63	17.29	18.83	17.58					
$K_2$	17.35	19.16	21.82	19.44					
$K_3$	19.36	22.01	23.42	21.59					
Mean	17.02	18.54	20.11	18.55					
	S.1	E.±	C.D. (1	?=0.05)					
Potassium	0.	35	1	.2					
Sulphur	0.	54	1.	42					
Potassium x sulphur	0.	95	NS						

K<sub>0</sub>: No potassium, K<sub>1</sub>: 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, K<sub>2</sub>: 75 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, K<sub>3</sub>: 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, S<sub>0</sub>: No sulphur, S<sub>1</sub>: 15 kg S/ha, S<sub>2</sub>: 30 kg S/ha, NS= Non-significant

This increase in yield and yield components with increase in sulphur application might be due to the higher growth and leaf chlorophyll content coupled with higher nutrient uptake leading to greater synthesis and translocation of photosynthates to fruits. These results corroborate the findings of Niranjana (1988) and Thakre et al. (2005). A combined application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O plus 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded higher yield of both onion (19.52 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and chilli (3.90 q ha-1).

Onion equivalent yield of intercrops was also ficantly influenced by the application of different levels of K and S. A significantly higher onion equivalent yield of 21.59 and 20.11 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was noticed with application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O and 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively over control. The interaction effects of K and S on onion equivalent yield was also non significant. However, the treatment combination of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O plus 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded maximum onion equivalent yield (23.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) over K<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub> (14.75 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Based on the results obtained, it may be concluded, the combined application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O plus 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> is better for getting the highest yield of onion and chilli and onion equivalent yield of intercrops. Pongde and Ghodpade (2014) on maize, Bodkhe and Ismail (2014) and Ismail et al. (2013) on soybean also worked on the related topic of vertisol and the results found were more or less similar to the present investigation.

## **Acknowledgement:**

The authors are very much thankful to the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Dharwad, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad for providing the necessary facilities for carrying out this work.

# **Literature Cited**

Ananthi, S., Veeragavathatham, O. and Srinivasan, K. (2004). Comparative efficacy of mutriate of potash and sulphate of potash on yield attributes, yield and economics of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.). South Indian Hort., **52**(1-6): 158-163.

Bodkhe, A.A. and Ismail, Syed (2014). Effect of bioinoculants and fertilizer levels on growth, yield attributes and yield of soybean (Glycine max L.) grown on Vertisol. Asian J. Soil *Sci.*, **9**(1): 63-66.

Elangovan, M., Suthatirapandian, I. R. and Sayed, M. S. (1982). Intercropping of onion in chilli. South Indian Hort., 30:48 –

Ismail, Syed, Jani, Syed Javed and Kosare, C.S. (2013). Interaction effect of sulphur and boron on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of soybean grown on Vertisol. Asian J. Soil Sci., 8(2): 275-278.

Nagaich, K.N., Tridevi, S.K. and Lekhi, Fajesh (1998). Effect of sulphur and potassium fertilization on onion (Allium cepa L.). South Indian Hort., **46** (5 & 6): 266 – 271.

Niranjana, K. V. (1988). Effect of different sources and levels of sulphur on the nutrient uptake and quality parameters of chillies (Capsicum annuum L.). M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Pongde, Sarika M. and Ghodpade, R.M. (2014). Impact of phosphorus and zinc application and their interactions on yield and uptake of nutrients by maize in vertisols. *Internat. J. Forestry & Crop Improv.*, **5**(1): 16-21.

Reddy, Y.T. and Reddi, S.G.H. (2002). Principles of agronomy., Kalyani Publishers, pp. 474, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Salimath, S.S. (1990). Studies on status of potassium and sulphur in soils and their effect on onion (Allium cepa L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Suresh, V.V. (2000). Effect of nitrogen and potassium on yield and quality parameters of Byadagi chilli (Capsicum annuum L.). M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

Thakre, C.M., Badole, W.P., Tiwari, T.K. and Sarode, P.B. (2005). Effect of different levels of sulphur, phosphorus and potassium on yield and quality of brinjal. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 30 (3): 352 – 353.

